

# Reclaimed Water: A Health Hazard?

W. H. J. HATTINGH

[NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR WATER RESEARCH OF  
THE COUNCIL FOR SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH,  
P.O. BOX 395, PRETORIA, 0001, REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA]

## Abstract

This paper describes the results of the first three years of a comprehensive survey of the microbiological and chemical quality of drinking waters in the Windhoek and Pretoria areas. Results indicated that all the potable waters analysed, were of a good quality and conformed to the criteria proposed by a number of organizations to ensure a safe, potable water.

One of the potable waters analysed in this study was derived from a source receiving rather large volumes of purified sewage effluents. However, it was shown that this source could still be purified to potable water quality. The fact that most, if not all, of the other cities in South Africa obtain their water from better sources, leads to the belief that the quality of the other potable water in this country would be as good as, if not better than, the values reported in this paper.

The microbiological quality of reclaimed water was shown to be good and chemically, except for its nitrate content, it conformed to all criteria relating to the health of man. Ways and means of reducing the nitrate content are discussed. It is finally concluded that reclaimed water does not present a health hazard.

## Introduction

The increasing pollution of raw water sources by human, industrial and agricultural wastes has made the indirect reuse of wastewater an integral part of modern society. A typical example of indirect reuse in the Republic of South Africa is the use of the polluted Vaal River as a source of drinking water for the Witwatersrand complex.

Reclamation of wastewater is one of the most profitable ways by which our limited water supplies can be augmented (Cillie and Stander, 1974) and treatment plants for this purpose are being used in Windhoek (potable water), Pretoria (research facility), Springs and Durban (paper industry).

The most important task of reclamation plants is to remove microbial pathogens (bacteria, viruses and parasites) and chemicals which pose a health risk. Chemicals may not only have immediate toxicological effects but also long-term effects, i.e. lead inhibits certain enzymes and mitochondrial respiration which may be harmful to the development of the infant brain (Moore, 1975).

This paper deals with the chemical and microbiological quality of various drinking water supplies obtained by conventional treatment of river, dam or borehole resources, as well as reclaimed water produced in Windhoek and Pretoria. The data obtained are necessary to establish quality base lines for the development of reclamation plants which will meet the requirements of safe drinking water.

## Materials and Methods

The National Institute for Water Research started studies on the quality of reclaimed water in 1964 at Windhoek. In 1971 the Stander Water Reclamation Plant in Pretoria came into operation and the studies were expanded to cover both reclaimed water and conventional potable water supplies and sources in the Windhoek and Pretoria areas. The research programme outlined by Hattingh and Nupen (1975) attempts to determine the health aspects of drinking waters by the collection of information on the type and concentrations of constituents in municipal wastewaters, reclaimed waters and other water sources, using chemical, microbiological and bioassaying techniques. The waters under surveillance at present supply about 25 per cent of the total population of the Republic of South Africa.

### Windhoek studies

Laboratory and pilot plant research at Windhoek on the design and function of the various unit processes of the reclamation plant was done before plant construction. All individual processes were tested separately for bacterial, viral and chemical removals, and intensive chemical and microbiological monitoring was carried out at all times during reclamation plant operation (Cillie *et al.*, 1966; Nupen, 1970). Windhoek's drinking water supply comes from a variety of sources such as dams, boreholes and reclaimed water. The quality of the settled sewage, humus tank-, maturation pond effluents and all the other sources of potable water has been measured at regular intervals since 1968.

### Pretoria studies

Pretoria also receives potable water from a variety of sources such as the Rand Water Board, different springs, a dam and a borehole. In addition, the Stander Water Reclamation Plant is situated at the Daspoort Sewage Works and purifies humus tank effluent to potable water quality, although the product is

## References

- BADENHORST, J.W.: (1973): Die evaluasie van vloedsbesproeiingsstelsels op Vaalharts en omgewing. Unpublished report by Division of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Technical Services (ATS).
- BENNIE, A.T.P. (1972): 'n Ondersoek na sekere aspekte van grondsterkte in gronde van die Manganoserie. *MSc-thesis*, University of the Orange Free State. Unpublished.
- BOUMANS, J.H. (1963): Some principles governing the drainage and irrigation of saline soils. *Reclamation of salt affected soils in Iraq; Inst. Land Recl. Impr.*; Publ. No. 11, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- BOUWER, H. (1969): Salt balance, irrigation efficiency and drainage design. *Jnl. Irr. Drain. Div. of the ASCE* 95 (IR 1) 153-170. Also: 95 (IR 4) 646-649.
- BOWER, C.A., SPENCER, J.R. and WEEKS, L.O. (1969): Salt and water balance, Coachella Valley, California. *Jnl. Irr. Drain. Div. of the ASCE* 95 (IR 1) 55-64; 95 (IR 4) 641-642.
- FORD, H.W. and SPENCER, W.F. (1962): Combatting iron oxide deposits in drain lines. *Florida State Horticultural Soc. Proc.* 75. 27.
- FOURIE, M.C.C. (1976): Verbrakking-, versuiiping- en dreineringsituasie op Vaalharts. *Die Landbouer*, May.
- GOMBAR, O. and ERASMUS, C.J.H. (1976): Vaalharts ontwateringsprojek. Report, Geological Survey, Pretoria. Unpublished.
- KLINTWORTH, H. (1952): The use of brack water for irrigation. *Bull. 328 Dept. of Agriculture*, Pretoria.
- MALETIC, J.T. (1967): Irrigation, a selective function: selection of project lands. *Int. Conf. Water for Peace*, Washington.
- MEYER, J.G.L. (1967): Irrigation problems at Vaalharts. *Nat. Irr. Symp.*, Oct. 1967, Vol. 1, Dept. ATS.
- MEYER, J.G.L. (1973): Die bepaling van die aard en omvang van die GWS en die voorkoms van verbrakking op die Vaalharts Skema m.b.v. 'n opname studie. Unpublished project report, Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- NAMKEN, L.N., WIEGAND, C.L. and BROWN, R.G. (1969): Water use by cotton from low and moderately saline static water tables. *Agron. Jnl.* 61, 305-310.
- PETERSON, D.F. (1965): Drainage wells. *Conf. Proc. Drainage for efficient crop production*. ASAE, St. Joseph, Michigan.
- ROSENSTRAUCH, F.J. (1945): Investigation of waterlogging conditions of the Vaalharts Scheme. Unpublished report, Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Pretoria.
- SALINITY LABORATORY, RIVERSIDE (1954): Diagnosis and improvements of saline and alkali soils. *Agr. hbk. 60*, USDA.
- SCHOFIELD, C.S. (1940): Salt balance in irrigated areas. *Jnl. Agr. Res.* 61, 17-39.
- SISSON, D.R. (1965): Envelope materials: their use in agricultural drainage. *Conf. Proc. Drain. for efficient crop production*. ASAE, St. Joseph, Michigan.
- STOCKTON, J.R., CARTER, L.M. and PAXMAN, G. (1964): Precision tillage for cotton. *Calif. Agr.* 18 (2), 8-10.
- STREUTKER, A. (1967-a): 'n Hidrologiese analise van die Vaalhartsbesproeiingsgebied: 'n Toetssteen vir besproeiingsbeleid en ontwerp-tegniek. *Tydskrif vir Natuurwetenskappe*, Sept. 1967, 405-419.
- STREUTKER, A. (1967-b): Opsomming en uitbreiding van 'n hidrologiese analise van Vaalharts. *Nat. Irr. Symp.*, Oct. 1967, Vol. 1, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- STREUTKER, A. (1971): Dreinerings en besproeiing van sandgrond op die Vaalharts Staatswaterskema. *DSc-thesis*, Univ. of Pretoria. Unpublished.
- STREUTKER, A. (1974): Bepaling van norme vir ontwerp en bestuur van besproeiingsstelsels vir gewasproduksie: Die ondersoek van vloed- en sprinkelstelsels. Unpublished project report, Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- TALSMA, T. (1963): The control of saline groundwater. *Med. van de Landbouwhogeschool*, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 63 (10), 1-68.
- TEMPERLEY, B.N. (1967): Geologist's preliminary reclamation report before visiting the area. Geol. Survey, Dept. of Mines, Pretoria. Unpublished.
- VAN DER MERWE, A.J. (1970): Fisiese eienskappe van die Manganogronderie onder bewerking en besproeiing. *Third Nat. Soil Science Congress*, Bloemfontein.
- VAN DER MERWE, A.J. (1973): 'n Geohidrologiese studie van die Vaalharts Staatswaterskema. Unpublished project report, Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- VAN SCHILFGAARDE, J., BERNSTEIN, L., RHOADES, J.D. and RAWLINS, S.L. (1974): Irrigation-management for salt control. *Jnl. Irr. Drain. Div. of the ASCE* 100 (IR 3), 321-338.
- VAN GARDEREN, J., LOUW, P.A. en ROSENSTRAUCH, F.J. (1934): Rapport oor die bodemopname van die voorgestelde Vaalharts besproeiingskema. Unpublished report, Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- VAN WOERKOM, J. (1967): 'n Bespreking van sekere besproeiings- en dreineringsaspekte op Staatsnedersettings. *Nat. Irr. Symp. Oct. 1967*, Vol. 1, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- VAN WOERKOM, J. en STREUTKER, A. (1971): Handleiding vir dreinerings van besproeiingsgrond. Published by Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Dept. ATS, Pretoria.
- WESSELING, J. en VAN WIJK, W.R. (1957): Land drainage in relation to soils and crops. *Drainage of Agr. Lands; Amer. Soc. Agron.* (Monograph No. 7) Madison, Wisconsin.

TABLE 1

DRINKING WATER QUALITY CRITERIA WHICH MIGHT AFFECT PUBLIC HEALTH AS PROPOSED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO), US PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE (USPHS), SOUTH AFRICAN BUREAU OF STANDARDS (SABS), RUSSIA (USSR), USA NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES (NAS), THE BRITISH MINISTRY OF HEALTH (UK), AUSTRALIA, JAPAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) OF THE USA  
ALL CONCENTRATIONS IN  $\mu\text{g}/\ell$  UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED

Parameter	Quality criteria proposed by									
	USPHS (1962)	Japan (1968)	UK (1969)	USSR (1970)	WHO		SABS (1971)	NAS (1972)	Australia (1973)	EPA (1975)
					European (1970)	International (1971)				
Arsenic	10	50		50	50	50	50	100	50	50
Barium	1 000			4 000	1 000	—	—	1 000	1 000	1 000
Cadmium	10			10	10	10	50	10	10	10
Chromium	50	50		100	50	—	50	50	50	50
Copper	1 000	10 000		100	50	50	1 000	1 000	10 000	—
Cyanide	10	10		100	50	50	10	200	10	—
Lead	50	100		100	100	100	50	50	50	50
Mercury	—	1		5	—	1	—	2	—	2
Phenolic compounds	1	5		1	1	1	1	1	—	—
Selenium	10	—		1	10	10	—	10	10	10
Silver	50	—		—	—	—	—	—	50	50
Zinc	5 000	1 000		1 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	—
Organic Matter (CCE)	200	—		—	200 – 500	—	—	300	700	—
Pesticides										
Total chlorinated hydrocarbon	—	—		—	—	—	—	1 066	—	1 092
Total organo phosphorus and carbamates	—	—		—	—	—	—	100	—	—
Total chlorophenoxys	—	—		—	—	—	—	52	—	110
Nitrate-Nitrogen (mg/ $\ell$ )	10	10		10	<11,5	10	10	10	10	10
Fluoride (mg/ $\ell$ )	0,6 – 1,7	0,8		1,5	0,7 – 1,7	0,6 – 1,7	1,0 – 1,5	1,4 – 2,4	1,5	1,4 – 2,4
Coliforms/100 ml	<1 <sup>(1)</sup>	0	<10	—	—	0	<10	—	0	<1
<i>E. coli</i> 1/100 ml	—	0	0	—	—	0	0	—	0	—
Total plate count/ml	—	—	—	—	—	—	<100	—	—	—
Virus/ $\ell$	—	—	—	—	—	1 <sup>(2)</sup>	—	—	—	—

(1) Less than 10% of samples should be positive in any one month

(2) 1 Plaque forming unit on testing 10 $\ell$  sample

not used as a source of drinking water. The quality of the different potable water sources in the Pretoria area, as well as that of the Daspoort Sewage Works, has been measured since 1972.

The Stander Water Reclamation Plant was designed as a research facility and, therefore, changes to its design and mode of operation were made to optimise the process. For these reasons, the plant was not operated on a continuous basis until February, 1975. The chemical and microbiological quality of the raw water input and the reclaimed water produced, have, however, been determined since 1972. A thorough investigation, based on 75 snap samples taken on alternate days and at different times of the day and night, was conducted during the continuous operation of the plant in 1975. The flow diagram of this plant has been outlined by van Vuuren (1975).

The analytical methods used have been described earlier (Hattingh and Nupen, 1975).

## Quality Criteria

The quantity and quality of industrial and sewage effluents which may be released to the environment are controlled by Act No. 54 of 1956. In terms of this Act, two standards – a special and a general – were promulgated for effluents in 1962. There are no statutory standards for potable water in South Africa (Barnard and Hattingh, 1975). The South African Bureau of Standards' specification for water for domestic supplies (Specification 241) may be applied by industry, local authorities or water authorities and is obligatory where water is being used in the manufacturing or processing of an edible product bearing the SABS mark.

Table 1 summarizes the standards proposed by various authorities for those constituents regarded as being detrimental to human health.

TABLE 2

**THE AVERAGE CHEMICAL QUALITY OVER THE PERIOD 1971 TO 1975 OF THE SETTLED SEWAGE (SS), HUMUS TANK EFFLUENT (HTE) AND MATURATION POND EFFLUENT (MPE) OF THE GAMMAMS SEWAGE WORKS, WINDHOEK**

		SS	HTE	MPE
Conductivity (mS/m)		129	91	94
mg/l	Alkalinity*	743	119	169
	COD**	163	59	62
	MBAS***	3,4	1,5	0,8
	Ammonia-N	57,1	11,1	8,5
	Organic-N	7,3	3,6	3,0
	Nitrate-N	0,6	13,6	7,4
	Nitrite-N	<0,1	0,8	0,4
	Ortho-P	10,7	10,5	9,5
	Organic-P	7,2	3,0	1,7
	Organic-C	56	27	25
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	0,4	0,3	0,3
	Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	87	72	79
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	102	103	104
	Sodium (Na)	110	99	115
	Potassium (K)	24	22	23
	Calcium (Ca)	45	45	43
	Magnesium (Mg)	9	8	8
µg/l	Aluminium (Al)	442	201	223
	Boron (B)	413	346	391
	Barium (Ba)	<250	<250	<250
	Beryllium (Be)	<25	<25	<25
	Cadmium (Cd)	<25	<25	<25
	Cobalt (Co)	<25	<25	<25
	Chromium (Cr)	<25	<25	<25
	Copper (Cu)	<25	<25	<25
	Iron (Fe)	421	127	134
	Manganese (Mn)	143	39	54
	Nickel (Ni)	<25	<25	<25
	Lead (Pb)	<25	<25	<25
	Strontium (Sr)	199	190	186
	Zinc (Zn)	183	95	82

\*Alkalinity at pH 4,3 (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

\*\*COD = Chemical oxygen demand

\*\*\*MBAS = Methylene blue active substances.

## Results

### Quality of the water in Windhoek

#### Sewage works

The average chemical and microbiological qualities over the period 1971 to 1975 are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The improvement in the chemical quality of the settled sewage by biological action is shown by a 62 per cent reduction in the COD value and a reduction in total nitrogen from 65 mg  $\ell^{-1}$  to 29 mg  $\ell^{-1}$  in the humus tank effluent. A similar improvement is shown by a reduction in total phosphate amount by 23 per cent and in synthetic detergents (MBAS) to 56 per cent.

Biological sewage purification has little effect on ions such as Na, K, Ca, Mg, SO<sub>4</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub>.

The improvement in the microbiological quality of the settled sewage by biological action is most pronounced in the maturation pond (Table 3). The virus count of the humus tank effluent is reduced on the average from  $32 \times 10^3$  TCID<sub>50</sub>  $\ell^{-1}$  to 100 TCID<sub>50</sub>  $\ell^{-1}$  – a reduction of two logs. In addition, the coliform count was also greatly reduced. The maturation ponds is, therefore, a very efficient microbiological barrier.

#### Potable water

The average chemical quality of the different sources is given in Table 4 and the microbiological quality in Table 5. The chemical quality of all these waters is good and conforms to the standards outlined in Table 1.

However, evidence of faecal contamination in one of the treated drinking water supplies was shown by the presence of *E. coli* and, more significantly, the recovery of virus during 1970, 1971, 1974 and 1975.

The other sources of drinking water, i.e. treated dam water and borehole waters all showed evidence of bacteriological and viral pollution during the test period.

#### Reclaimed water

Reclamation of potable water was commenced during 1969. This plant was operated during the summer months since the

TABLE 3

**THE AVERAGE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OVER THE PERIOD 1971 TO 1975 OF THE SETTLED SEWAGE (SS), HUMUS TANK EFFLUENT (HTE) AND MATURATION POND EFFLUENT (MPE) OF THE GAMMAMS SEWAGE WORKS, WINDHOEK**

		SS	HTE	MPE
/mℓ	Total plate count (37 °C/24 h) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5 600	2 700	700
	Coliforms* (37 °C) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	16 950	1 330	0,06
	Faecal coliforms* (44,5 °C/18 h) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	2 020	173	0,008
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> x 10 <sup>3</sup>	10 860	115	1
	<i>Clostridium* perfringens</i> x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 170	30	11
/100 mℓ	Staphylococci* x 10 <sup>2</sup>	37	42	0,7
	Total enterovirus x 10 <sup>3</sup>	56	32	0,1
	Total parasite ova	9	3	0

\*By membrane filtration

TABLE 4

**THE AVERAGE CHEMICAL QUALITY OF THE DIFFERENT SOURCES OF POTABLE WATER  
IN WINDHOEK OVER THE PERIOD 1971 TO 1975**

		Goreangab Dam		Von Bach Dam Treated	Pahl Quelle Boreholes	Re-claimed water
		Raw	Treated			
Conductivity (mS/m)		34	41	25	86	110
mg/l	Alkalinity*	98	96	91	273	89
	COD**	33	32	40	28	33
	MBAS***	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,5	—
	Ammonia-N	0,9	0,4	3,6	0,7	0,1
	Organic-N	1,2	0,6	2,7	0,6	0,6
	Nitrate-N	0,9	0,7	1,1	1,4	25,0
	Nitrite-N	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,2	<0,1
	Ortho-P	0,4	<0,2	0,9	0,6	<0,2
	Organic-P	1,3	0,3	0,7	0,3	<0,2
	Organic-C	14	15	14	13	8
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,8	0,1
	Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	20	27	5	27	130
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	36	40	34	104	210
	Sodium (Na)	28	29	2	101	154
	Potassium (K)	7	7	4	13	29
	Calcium (Ca)	27	36	32	58	57
	Magnesium (Mg)	4	4	2	18	5
µg/l	Aluminium (Al)	699	309	903	247	—
	Arsenic (As)	—	—	—	—	—
	Boron (B)	147	96	112	176	—
	Barium (Ba)	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250
	Beryllium (Be)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
	Cadmium (Cd)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Cobalt (Co)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Chromium (Cr)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Copper (Cu)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Iron (Fe)	813	59	156	250	<25
	Manganese (Mn)	92	70	25	40	<25
	Nickel (Ni)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Lead (Pb)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Strontium (Sr)	122	127	88	381	225
	Zinc (Zn)	54	82	54	57	<25

\*Alkalinity at pH 4,3 (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

\*\*COD = Chemical oxygen demand

\*\*\*MBAS = Methylene blue active substances

TABLE 5

**THE AVERAGE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF THE DIFFERENT SOURCES OF POTABLE  
WATER IN THE WINDHOEK AREA OVER THE PERIOD 1970 TO 1975**

		Goreangab Dam		Purified Von Bach Dam	Pahl Quelle boreholes	Re-claimed water
		Raw	Purified			
1 mℓ	Total plate count	292 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	20	400	600	<100
/100 mℓ	Coliforms (37°C)	23 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	14	0
	Faecal coliforms (44,5°C/18h)	5 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	6	0
	Confirmed <i>E. coli</i> I	3 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	<1	0
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	4 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	2	—
	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	17 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	0	1	<1	—
	Staphylococci	34	0	0	<1	—
Percentage of samples positive for enterovirus		6	3	<1	0	0

TABLE 6

THE AVERAGE CHEMICAL QUALITY OVER THE PERIOD 1972 TO 1975 OF THE SETTLED SEWAGE (SS) AND HUMUS TANK EFFLUENT (HTE) OF THE DASPOORT SEWAGE WORKS, PRETORIA

		SS	HTE
	Conductivity (mS/m)	97	69
mg/ℓ	Alkalinity*	304	154
	COD**	309	51
	MBAS***	3,7	1,0
	Ammonia-N	43,8	7,8
	Organic-N	9,4	1,8
	Nitrate-N	0,9	7,2
	Nitrite-N	<0,1	0,3
	Ortho-P	7,7	7,1
	Organic-P	1,3	1,0
	Organic-C	136	26
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	0,3	0,3
	Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	71	50
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	76	59
	Sodium (Na)	80	55
	Potassium (K)	15	13
μg/ℓ	Calcium (Ca)	40	38
	Magnesium (Mg)	18	19
	Silver (Ag)	<25	<25
	Aluminium (Al)	309	136
	Arsenic (As)	<1	<1
	Boron (B)	722	271
	Barium (Ba)	<250	<250
	Beryllium (Be)	<5	<5
	Cadmium (Cd)	<5	<5
	Cobalt (Co)	<25	<25
	Chromium (Cr)	<25	<25
	Copper (Cu)	209	<25
	Mercury (Hg)	1	<1
	Iron (Fe)	492	60
	Manganese (Mn)	89	30
	Nickel (Ni)	<25	<25
	Lead (Pb)	43	<25
	Strontium (Sr)	125	73
	Zinc (Zn)	245	89
	Phenol	86	64
	Cyanide (CN <sup>-</sup> )	89	66

\*Alkalinity at pH 4,3 (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

\*\*COD = Chemical oxygen demand

\*\*\*MBAS = Methylene blue active substances

chlorination facilities were inadequate to ensure complete disinfection during the winter months when the ammonia figure rose to higher levels. During February, 1971, Windhoek had heavy rains and shortly afterwards a further fresh water supply from the Von Bach Dam came into operation which alleviated the serious shortage of fresh water in Windhoek. The reclamation plant has since been modified to resemble the Stander reclamation plant in Pretoria. The average chemical and microbiological quality of the reclaimed water in Windhoek is presented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

The chemical quality of the reclaimed water was as good as that from the other potable sources in Windhoek. The microbiological quality, however, was significantly better than the other supplies since at no time could virus be isolated from 10 ℓ or even 100 ℓ of the product water.

### Quality of the water in Pretoria

#### Sewage works

The average chemical quality of the settled sewage and humus tank effluent at the Daspoort Sewage Works over the period 1972 to 1975 is given in Table 6.

The following average reductions were achieved: COD 84%; MBAS 73%; total nitrogen 68%; total phosphorus 2% and organic C 81%. Once again little reduction in Na, K, Ca, Mg, SO<sub>4</sub> and Cl took place, but trace metals such as Al, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb and Zn were reduced to low levels.

The average microbiological quality of the settled sewage and humus tank effluent is outlined in Table 7. The microbiological quality of the settled sewage at Daspoort is different from that of the Gammams Works at Windhoek (Table 3) in that the counts were much lower, the reason for this being that the Gammams Works receives little industrial effluent and, therefore, less dilution of the sewage by effluents containing relatively low loads of micro-organisms took place. In addition, the Daspoort Works differed from the Gammams Works in that the latter included maturation ponds which had a pronounced effect on the microbiological quality (Table 3).

TABLE 7

THE AVERAGE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OVER THE PERIOD 1972 TO 1975 OF THE SETTLED SEWAGE (SS), HUMUS TANK EFFLUENT (HTE) OF THE DASPOORT SEWAGE WORKS, PRETORIA

		SS	HTE
1 ml	Total plate count (37°C/24 h) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	680	72
	Coliforms* (37°C) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 960	140
100 ml	Faecal coliforms* (44,5°C/18 h) x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 020	30
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> x 10 <sup>3</sup>	11	2
	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> *	42	21
1 litre	Total enterovirus x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5	1
	Total parasite ova	18	<1

\*By membrane filtration.

TABLE 8

**THE AVERAGE CHEMICAL QUALITY OF THE DIFFERENT POTABLE WATERS IN THE  
PRETORIA AREA OVER THE PERIOD 1972 TO 1975**

		Rietvlei Dam		Rietvlei Fountains	Erasmia Borehole	Pretoria Fountains	NIWR Laboratory Tap water	Vaal River at Vereeniging	
		Raw	Treated					Raw	Treated
	Conductivity (mS/m)	54	58	27	55	49	44	52	37
mg/ℓ	Alkalinity*	160	140	98	196	162	71	84	86
	COD**	30	34	18	18	20	47	22	22
	MBAS***	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,2
	Ammonia-N	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,4	0,4	0,5
	Organic-N	0,7	0,6	0,5	0,5	0,4	2,0	0,7	0,8
	Nitrate-N	0,1	<0,1	0,6	2,1	2,1	0,7	1,3	1,0
	Nitrite-N	0,5	0,3	0,2	0,1	<0,1	0,2	0,1	0,2
	Ortho-P	0,5	0,6	0,2	<0,2	<0,2	0,2	0,4	<0,2
	Organic-P	0,4	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,4
	Organic-C	17	12	7	6	7	7	10	8
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	0,3	0,3	0,1	0,1	<0,1	0,2	0,4	0,2
	Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	31	51	15	46	25	53	36	21
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	60	76	10	12	10	44	111	58
	Sodium (Na)	58	63	10	15	15	46	39	22
	Potassium (K)	8	8	1	1	2	3	7	4
	Calcium (Ca)	27	28	24	53	44	33	56	42
	Magnesium (Mg)	15	15	13	31	24	11	30	7
μg/ℓ	Silver (Ag)	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
	Aluminium (Al)	154	192	145	154	137	149	263	171
	Arsenic (As)	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	2
	Boron (B)	188	174	109	107	107	103	259	139
	Barium (Ba)	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250
	Beryllium (Be)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
	Cadmium (Cd)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
	Cobalt (Co)	<25	<25	<25	<25	27	<25	<25	<25
	Chromium (Cr)	<25	26	<25	<25	25	<25	28	<25
	Copper (Cu)	27	<25	<25	<25	<25	40	28	29
	Mercury	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Iron (Fe)	1939	26	26	25	26	71	1534	<25
	Manganese (Mn)	25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	29	<25
	Nickel (Ni)	28	27	<25	26	27	<25	30	<75
	Lead (Pb)	<25	<25	<25	27	<25	<25	27	<25
	Strontium (Sr)	69	65	24	33	28	67	148	85
	Zinc (Zn)	39	29	28	61	32	41	35	26
	Phenol	68	56	73	72	61	54	<50	71
	Cyanide (CN <sup>-</sup> )	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

\*Alkalinity at pH 4,3 (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

\*\*COD = Chemical oxygen demand

\*\*\*MBAS = Methylene blue active substances.

*Potable water*

The quality of the different sources of potable water have been measured since 1972 and the average chemical quality is given in Table 8 and the average microbiological quality in Table 9.

*Reclaimed water*

The average chemical and microbiological qualities of the water during 1975 are given in Tables 10 and 11, respectively.

TABLE 9

**THE AVERAGE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF THE DIFFERENT SOURCES OF POTABLE WATER IN THE PRETORIA AREA DURING THE PERIOD 1972 TO 1975**

		Vaal River at Vereeniging		Rietvlei Dam		Rietvlei Fountains		Erasmia Bore-hole	Pretoria Fountains		NIWR Laboratory tap water
		Raw	Treated	Raw	Treated	Before Cl <sub>2</sub>	After Cl <sub>2</sub>		Before Cl <sub>2</sub>	After Cl <sub>2</sub>	
/mℓ	Total plate count (37 °C/24 h) x 10 <sup>2</sup>	33	0,12	44	9	8	12	5	8	12	10
/100 mℓ	Coliforms* (37 °C)	35	0	30	35	10	3	5	1	10	5
	Faecal coliforms* (44,5 °C/18 h)	17	0	12	5	4	<1	4	<1	1	50
	Confirmed <i>E. coli</i> I*	12	0	0	0	0	0	—	—	0	6
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	9	0	<1	<1	<1	<1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> *	17	0	113	87	6	<1	<1	<1	<1	7
	Staphylococci*	9	0	<1	<1	0	0	0	0	0	0
/litre	Total enterovirus	0	0	<1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total parasite ova	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

\*By membrane filtration.

## Discussion

Water is not the only medium carrying polluting substances. The total environment contains most of these substances in far greater concentrations than in water. The danger of man becoming poisoned or infected through water is often over-emphasized.

To date the quality of reclaimed water has complied with most of the chemical requirements and has often been better than drinking water from other sources. No virus was isolated from any of the drinking water supplies in the Pretoria area, but faecal coli were isolated on occasions from some purified dam waters and spring waters. No *E. coli* or virus were ever isolated from the final water from the reclamation plants. The microbiological quality of reclaimed water was equal to, if not better than, the drinking water supplies in both the Pretoria and Windhoek areas.

Although *Ascaris* spp., *Taenia* spp. and Hookworm ova were found in humus tank effluents, their numbers were reduced to zero in the reclamation process.

Although not a gazetted standard, zero virus isolated from a 10 ℓ sample is used by the NIWR as a criterion for drinking waters in South Africa.

Recoveries of virus on occasions confirmed that the absence of faecal coliforms was not a reliable indicator of viral pollution.

If the values in Table 10 are compared with the World Health Organization's criteria for a potable water (Table 1), then the reclaimed water produced at Pretoria fails to comply with respect to the nitrate-nitrogen, phenol and mercury content. It was later established that the stoppers of the bottles used to convey the samples to the laboratory contained mercury in the adhesive of the stopper. Over the period 1972 to 1974, the mercury content has consistently been less than 1 µg ℓ<sup>-1</sup> and this observation was confirmed during 1976 after the contamination via the sampling bottles was discovered. The nitrate content of the reclaimed water can conveniently be reduced to more desired levels, i.e. less than 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N ℓ<sup>-1</sup> by blending it with other potable water as is done at Windhoek or, alternatively, the raw water may be denitrified before reclamation. Denitrification of sewage is possible and should present no problems. If denitrification cannot be used, maturation ponds still present a method to reduce the nitrate-nitrogen content. Phenol does not present a health hazard but gives rise to taste and odour problems.

Some of the wastewaters under test by the NIWR have shown cytotoxicity to tissue culture cells but this toxicity was never observed in the final product from the reclamation plant.

The results presented in this paper indicate that reclamation plants do purify sewage effluents to a high degree of purity. Epidemiological and bio-evaluation studies of reclaimed water have, to date, failed to demonstrate any adverse health effects of the water on humans (Grové, 1974), or rats (Nupen and Hattingh, 1975).



TABLE 10

**CHEMICAL QUALITY OF RAW WATER TO  
THE STANDER WATER RECLAMATION  
PLANT AND THE FINAL WATER PRODUCED  
DURING CONTINUOUS OPERATION IN 1975**

		Raw	Final
	Conductivity (mS/m)	66	60
mg/ℓ	Alkalinity*	158	35
	COD**	36	19
	MBAS***	0,8	0,6
	Ammonia-N	7,6	0,4
	Organic-N	4,6	1,1
	Nitrate-N	11,3	15,3
	Nitrite-N	0,5	0,1
	Ortho-P	6,0	0,4
	Organic-P	1,4	0,4
	Organic-C	18	8
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	0,1	0,1
	Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	46	90
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	45	46
	Sodium (Na)	46	63
	Potassium (K)	13	12
μg/ℓ	Calcium (Ca)	41	35
	Magnesium (Mg)	20	4
	Silver (Ag)	<25	<25
	Aluminium (Al)	109	102
	Arsenic (As)	2	2
	Boron (B)	260	164
	Barium (Ba)	<250	<250
	Beryllium (Be)	<5	<5
	Cadmium (Cd)	<5	<5
	Cobalt (Co)	<25	<25
	Chromium (Cr)	<25	<25
	Copper (Cu)	<25	<25
	Mercury (Hg)	4 <sup>(1)</sup>	22 <sup>(1)</sup>
	Iron (Fe)	161	59
	Manganese (Mn)	<25	<25
	Nickel (Ni)	<25	<25
	Lead (Pb)	<25	<25
	Strontium (Sr)	75	52
	Zinc (Zn)	32	<25
	Phenol	93	92
	Cyanide (CN <sup>-</sup> )	<50	<50

\*Alkalinity at pH 4,3 (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

\*\*COD = Chemical oxygen demand

\*\*\*MBAS = Methylene blue active substances.

(1) See text for explanation of these high figures.

However, micropollutants responsible for long-term health effects or the occurrence of infection without obvious disease patterns are not detected by the constituents outlined in Table 1. This fact is still under study. The reclaimed water contained only pyrene and fluoranthene as examples of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in ng ℓ<sup>-1</sup> quantities. No other PAH compounds could be detected. Chlorinated hydrocarbons such as chloroform also occurred in the final water in concent-

rations of 2 to 15 μg ℓ<sup>-1</sup>. The organic material that could be extracted from the reclaimed water by passing it over XAD-9 resin and recovering the organic material with ether, amounted to 6 μg ℓ<sup>-1</sup>.

Effectively controlled chlorination to breakpoint with special attention to pH and turbidity, is absolutely necessary for the disinfection of not only reclaimed waters, but all treated drinking waters in order to prevent disease transmission and the spread of R<sup>+</sup> bacteria.

Available information confirms that there is no reason to believe that hepatitis viruses differ from other viruses in consisting of nucleic acid wrapped up in a protective coat. Consequently there are certain limitations in their resistance to disinfectants such as chlorine and ozone and to their survival in water and water treatment processes which they cannot exceed (Grabow, 1975).

The results of the research programme obtained so far, indicate that the methods employed in the reclamation plants studied by the NIWR are capable of producing water which complies with regional, national and international standards of purity. Vigilance is continuous, and the proposed operation of reclamation plants as research plants will not only ensure the production of a safe potable water supply to areas of need, but will provide the means to improve on the existing technological development and for the acquisition of background information.

TABLE 11

**AVERAGE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF  
RAW WATER TO AND FINAL WATER FROM  
THE STANDER WATER RECLAMATION  
PLANT, PRETORIA, DURING CONTINUOUS  
OPERATION IN 1975**

		Raw	Final
/mℓ	Total plate count (37 °C/24 h) x 10 <sup>2</sup>	19 000	9
/100mℓ	Coliforms* (37 °C) x 10 <sup>5</sup>	13	0
	Faecal coliforms* (44,5 °C/18 h) x 10 <sup>5</sup>	6	0
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1	1
	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> *	8	1
	Staphylococci*	64	0
	Enterococci*	91	0
/litre	Total enterovirus x 10 <sup>8</sup>	24	0
	Total parasite ova	1	0
	<i>Ascaris</i> ova	1	0
	Hookworm ova	0	0
	<i>Taenia</i> ova	0	0
	Other ova	0	0

\*By membrane filtration

## Conclusion

The results reported in this paper have been obtained over a period of at least eight years. The qualities of all the different potable waters analysed by the NIWR were good. One of the potable waters surveyed in this study is derived from a source receiving rather large volumes of purified sewage effluents but it was shown that this source could still be purified to a potable water quality. The fact that most, if not all, of the other cities in South Africa derive their water from better sources, leads to the belief that the other potable water in this country would be of as good, if not better, quality than the values reported in this paper. The quality of reclaimed water, with the exception of its nitrate content, has been shown to be as good as that of other potable waters. If the results for the organic matter and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon content and the fact that no toxicity to rats could be shown (Nupen and Hattingh, 1975) into account, then there is no reason to believe that reclaimed water presents a health hazard.

## Acknowledgement

The results reported in this paper are based on the work of the Division of Water Quality of the NIWR. Much of this work has been sponsored by the Department of Health and the Water Research Commission. This paper is published by permission of the Director of the NIWR.

## References

- BARNARD, J.J. and HATTINGH, W.H.J. (1975): *Health aspects of the reuse of wastewater for human consumption*. Paper presented at a Water Research Centre Symposium on drinking water quality and public health. Nov. 4-6, at High Wycombe, England.
- CILLIÉ, G.G. and STANDER, G.J. (1974): *A case for reclamation*. Paper presented at 51st Conference of the Institution of Municipal Engineers of Southern Africa, Windhoek.
- CILLIÉ, G.G., VAN VUUREN, L.R.J., STANDER, G.J. and KOLBE, F.F. (1966): *The reclamation of sewage effluents for domestic use*. Paper presented to 3rd International Conference on Water Pollution Research, Munich.
- EPA (1972): *Water quality criteria*. National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C. Prepared for the EPA of the U.S.A., Washington D.C.
- EPA (1975): *National interim primary drinking water regulations*. Federal Register, Wednesday, 24 December 1975. Washington D.C.
- GRABOW, W.O.K. (1975): Progress in studies on the type A (infectious) hepatitis virus in water. *Water S.A.* 2 (1) 20-24.
- GROVÉ, S.S. (1974): *The epidemiology of reclaimed water*. Paper presented to 51st Conference of the Institution of Municipal Engineers of Southern Africa.
- GREAT BRITAIN (1969): Department of Health and Social Security, Welsh Office. Ministry of Housing and Local Environment. *The bacteriological examination of water supplies*. Report No. 71, Public Health and Medical Subjects. London, HMSO.
- HART, B.T. (1973): *Water quality criteria for domestic water supplies*. AWRC Project No. 71/36: Compilation of Australian water quality criteria. 2nd draft.
- HATTINGH, W.H.J. and NUPEN, E.M. (1975): Health aspects of potable water supplies. *Water S.A.* 2 (1) 33-46.
- JAPAN (1968): Ministerial Ordinance on water quality standards, *Water quality standards*. The Welfare Ministry, May 6, 1968.
- MOORE, M.R. (1975): *Lead in drinking water and its significance to health*. Paper presented at a Water Research Centre Symposium on drinking water quality and public health, Nov. 4-6, 1975. High Wycombe, England.
- NUPEN, E.M. (1970): Virus studies on the Windhoek wastewater reclamation plant (S.W.A.). *Wat. Res.* 4 661-672.
- NUPEN, E.M. and HATTINGH, W.H.J. (1975): *Health aspects of reusing wastewater for potable purposes: South African experience*. Paper presented to a Workshop on Research Needs for the potable reuse of Municipal wastewater, Boulder, March 17-20, 1975, Colorado.
- SABS (1971): *Specification for water for domestic supplies*. South African Bureau of Standards specification 241-1971. Pretoria.
- UNITED STATES (1962): U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service. *Drinking water standards*. Washington D.C.
- USSR (1970): *Maximum permissible concentrations of harmful substances in the water of water courses used for hygienic and domestic purposes*. Standards provided to WHO expert committee on Health Criteria for water supplies by the USSR, WHO., Geneva 30 March 1971.
- VAN VUUREN, L.R.J. (1975): Water reclamation – quality targets and economic considerations. *Water S.A.* 1 (3) 133-143.
- WHO (1970): *European standards for drinking water*. Regional Office, World Health Organization. Copenhagen.
- WHO (1971): *International standards for drinking water*. World Health Organization. Geneva. 3rd Edition.

# GUIDE TO AUTHORS

## 1. SPECIFICATIONS AND MECHANICAL DATA

The size of the journal is A4. Full page type area is 210 mm × 300 mm. Column width is 85 mm. Printing is Litho offset.

## 2. GENERAL

- 2.1 Papers will be accepted in English or Afrikaans.
- 2.2 Papers should deal with the following: scientific aspects of water; water resources development; industrial and municipal water and effluent management; environmental pollution control; hydrology and geohydrology; agricultural water science; limnology and the hydrological cycle.
- 2.3 Papers should be accompanied by an abstract. In preparing abstracts, authors should be brief but not at the expense of intelligibility.
- 2.4 Authors may recommend that some sections of their papers should be printed in smaller type, or in an appendix if they deal with technicalities which are chiefly within their own discipline. Such an arrangement helps the general reader make use of the paper.
- 2.5 "State of the Art" review articles and reports on meetings and conferences will normally be prepared by invitation, but authors may submit such papers or suggestions for consideration to the Editor.
- 2.6 The submission of a paper will be taken to indicate that it has not, and will not, without the consent of the Editor, be submitted for publication elsewhere.
- 2.7 Fifty free reprints of each paper will be provided. Any additional copies of reprints must be ordered with return of proofs and will be charged for. A reprint order form will accompany proofs.
- 2.8 Manuscripts should be submitted to:  
The Editor,  
WATER SA,  
P.O. Box 824,  
PRETORIA, 0001.

## 3. SCRIPT REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1 Authors are asked to submit an original typed copy in *double spacing* with one carbon copy or photocopy to avoid delay in refereeing.
- 3.2 Photographs should be on glossy and not matt paper, enlarged sufficiently to permit clear reproduction in half-tone. These must accompany the manuscript, preferably mounted on separate sheets. If words or numbers appear on photographs two copies are requested, one clearly printed and the other without inscription. If line drawings are already well drawn, it may be possible to reproduce them direct from the original, but in this case it is essential that the original drawings or good photo-prints should be provided. All illustrations should be provided with descriptive legends (captions). The legends should be typed on a separate sheet. Please pack photographs carefully, with cardboard backing, to avoid damage in the post.
- 3.3 References to published literature should be quoted in the text as follows: SMITH (1950) – the date of publication, in parentheses, following the author's name. All references should also be listed together at the end of each paper and not given as footnotes. They should be arranged in alphabetical order (first author's surname) with the name of the periodical abbreviated in the style of the *World List of Scientific Periodicals* (4th edn, Butterworths, London, 1963-1965, 3 vols) and appear as follows:

CURTIS E.J.C. and HARRINGTON D.W. (1971)  
The occurrence of sewage fungus in rivers in the  
United Kingdom. *Water Research* Vol. 5, no. 6,  
281-290.

THRING M.W. (1975) *Air Pollution*, p. 132 Butterworths, London.

It is particularly requested that (a) authors' initials (b) title of the paper and (c) the volume or part numbers and page numbers both beginning and end are given in every case.

## HOW TO SUBSCRIBE

If you would like to receive a copy of "Water SA" kindly write direct to The Editor, "Water SA", P.O. Box 824, PRETORIA, 0001. Subscription is free.